

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/784,067	SHMILOVICH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Robert P. Swiatek.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Robert Hughes.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 August 2005

**Time:** =

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*Possible 35 USC 102(b)*

Claims discussed:  
 8, 20, 21, 27, 36, 37

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Mayer Jr. (US 3,604,661)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicants' representative authorized a change to claim 37 reciting that operation of the nozzle section moves the discharge direction cyclically so as to dissipate a vortex. Additional agreed-upon changes correct informalities. See examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)